UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,152 | 03/23/2006 | Behrad Assadian | 36-1982 | 6586 |
| 23117 NIXON & VAN | 7590 09/25/200 NDERHYE, PC | EXAMINER | | |
| 901 NORTH G | LEBE ROAD, 11TH F | BHARADWAJ, KALPANA | | |
| ARLINGTON, | VA 22203 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2129 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/25/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Applic | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/573 | ,152 | ASSADIAN ET AL | | | | |
| | | Examir | ner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | KALPA | NA BHARADWAJ | 2129 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| WHICHEVER - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receive | ED STATUTORY PERIOD IN IS LONGER, FROM THE IN IN IT IS LONGER, FROM THE IN IT IS TO THE | MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the | THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be discount will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDON | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This ac 3)⊡ Since tl | nsive to communication(s) fil tion is FINAL . his application is in condition in accordance with the pract | 2b)∏ This action is for allowance exce | s non-final. ept for formal matters, p | | e merits is | | | |
| Disposition of C | laims | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of th 5) | s) 1-10 is/are pending in the he above claim(s) is/as s) is/are allowed. s) 1-10 is/are rejected. s) 1-10 is/are objected to. s) is/are subject to restricters | are withdrawn from | | | | | | |
| 9)∐ The spe | cification is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Drafts 3) Information Dis | rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date <u>08/29/2006</u> . | | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2129

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to an AMENDMENT entered May 27, 2008 for the patent application 10/573,152 filed on Mar 23, 2006.
- 2. All prior office actions are fully incorporated into this Office Action by reference.

Status of Claims

3. Claims 1-10 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choi (USPN 2002/0042793, referred to as **Choi**), as set forth in the previous office action.

Art Unit: 2129

Response to Argument

6. Applicant's arguments filed May 05, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

7. Regarding Applicant's arguments on page 2-3:
The applicant's invention is analyzed using stemming algorithm,
whereas Choi discloses an entirely different approach to the
problem.

Examiner's response:

Although the applicant's specification discloses the stemming algorithm, it is not mentioned in the claims. The applicant is reminded that the claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of an invention.

There is no mention of these limitations in the claims and the specification is not the measure of the invention. Therefore, limitations contained therein can not be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art; see <u>In re Sprock</u>, 55 CCPA 743, 386 F.2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1968). Although claims are read in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2D 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

8. Regarding Applicant's arguments on page 3-4:

Art Unit: 2129

Choi does not disclose: "identifying, ..., word sequences comprising the word and a predetermined number of the words; calculating a relative frequency of occurrence for each distinct word sequence ..."

Examiner's response:

Choi (¶ 0002) discloses "performing real-time document clustering for relevant documents." This inherently involves, identifying documents, which would be the input to the clustering algorithm. "Finding word sequences" is anticipated by, "query words given by the user" (¶ 0002). Further, (Choi ¶ 0027) discloses search and frequencies of keywords.

Choi (¶ 0002) specifies using 'entropy data extracted using entropy value and user profiles and query words'. The entropy data includes number of words, predetermined and others. Also, Choi uses statistical techniques, which inherently uses the 'number of the words.'

9. Regarding Applicant's arguments on pages 3-4:
Choi does not disclose "calculating a relative frequency of occurrence for each distinct word sequence ...".

Examiner's response:

Refer to (Choi \P 0027 or S20, Fig. 2: frequencies of keywords).

Art Unit: 2129

10. Regarding Applicant's arguments on page 4:

There is no mention of "generating a fuzzy set ..."

Examiner's response:

Refer to (Choi \P 0143: fuzzy method).

Examination Considerations

11. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers or paragraph numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the Applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in their entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. The entire reference is considered to provide disclosure relating to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,152

Art Unit: 2129

Conclusion

Page 6

12. Claims 1-10 are rejected.

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KALPANA BHARADWAJ whose telephone number is (571)270-1641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Vincent can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 2129

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bharadwaj Kalpana/ Examiner, Art Unit 2129 /David R Vincent/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2129